UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/792,122	03/03/2004	Steven T. Antoch	003797.00767	3925	
	7590 10/22/200 ART, KOLASCH & B	EXAMINER			
PO Box 747		GOFMAN, ALEX N			
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2162		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/22/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/792,122	ANTOCH, STEVEN T.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ALEX GOFMAN	2162		

	ALEX GOFMAN	2162				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addr	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 09 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, wh with 37 CFR 41.31; or	nich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectior FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	i. ED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliant filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS	it mains to the data of filings a buint	will make a setamad bas				
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consum (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better.	sideration and/or search (see NO ⁻ /);	ΓE below);				
appeal; and/or	or form for appear by materially rec	adding or simplifying the	5 133ue3 101			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11)	. ,,	manliant Amandmant (D	TOL 224)			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12′ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (P	TOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): would be allo non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendment	canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	olanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 4, 7-10, 12, 14, 16, 18 and 20-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing a No	ntice of Anneal will not	ne entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidav	t or other evidence is r	ecessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and approximately a good and sufficient reasons.	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	d.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowanc	e because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:						
	/Wilson Lee/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2163				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended Claims 1, 9, 16 and 18 present new limitations to the Claims that would require further search and consideration. The limitations include, "provide an entry point for non-type safe application programming interface so that general purpose client code can access the filed values without relying on type safety.".